

1. A biomedical journal has published 5000 articles which rejected their null hypotheses at a significance level of 0.05. Roughly how many of these 5000 articles reached the wrong conclusion?

- (A) 1000
- (B) 250
- (C) Not enough information to say
- (D) None of the above

2. The same biomedical journal has published 100 articles which failed to reject their null hypothesis at a significance level of 0.05. Roughly how many of these 100 articles reached the wrong conclusion?

- (A) 10
- (B) 5
- (C) Not enough information to say
- (D) None of the above

3. Suppose you conduct a hypothesis test based on a sample size of  $n = 50$  and arrive at a  $p$ -value of 0.08. You then refer back to your notes and realize you've made a careless mistake: the sample size was really  $n = 500$ . If you redo your  $p$ -value calculation, you'll find that...

- (A) The new  $p$ -value is still equal to 0.08.
- (B) The new  $p$ -value is bigger than 0.08.
- (C) The new  $p$ -value is less than 0.08.
- (D) None of the above.

4. You want to know what percentage of books written by female authors in 2020 feature a female protagonist. You take a simple random sample of 1000 books written by female authors in 2020 and compute a 95% confidence interval for the proportion of those books which feature a female protagonist. The margin of error for your confidence interval is 10%. How big a sample size would you have needed if you wanted your confidence interval to have a margin of error of 5%?

- (A) 250 books
- (B) 500 books
- (C) 2000 books
- (D) None of the above

## 5. True or False?

With large sample sizes, even small differences between the null value and the observed point estimate can be statistically significant.