

Errata

Shishir Agrawal, Matthias Strauch

From category \mathcal{O}^∞ to locally analytic representations (doi: [10.1016/j.jalgebra.2021.09.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2021.09.032), arXiv: [2011.12370v5](https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.12370v5))

We would like to extend our thanks for Zichuan Wang for a careful read-through of the paper.

- Section 2.4, line 3: $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in t^*} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ (no subscript E is needed).
- Lemma 2.4.2: \mathfrak{p} should be \mathfrak{g} .
- Equation 2.4.3: Right-hand side should be $\phi_n(y)(x \cdot m)$, and in the following line, $\mathfrak{p}_{\alpha, E}$ should be \mathfrak{g}_α .
- Paragraph 2.4.6: \mathfrak{p} should be \mathfrak{g} .
- Paragraph 2.5.3: The sixth sentence should end with $\sigma|_{T'} = \rho|_{T'}$ (one of the restriction bars was missing).
- Equation 2.5.4: Should be $\sigma(\text{tht}^{-1}) = \rho(t) \circ \sigma(h) \circ \rho(t^{-1})$.
- Proof of equation 2.5.4: Replace the last four sentences with the following:
Next, suppose h is in a root subgroup U_α of P' . As we noted at the end of paragraph 2.5.2, the action of h on M is then given by

$$\sigma(h) = \exp(\phi(\log(h))).$$

Let $x = \log(h) \in \mathfrak{p}_\alpha$. Then $\text{tht}^{-1} = \exp(\chi_\alpha(t)x)$, so

$$\sigma(\text{tht}^{-1}) = \exp(\phi(\chi_\alpha(t)x)) = \exp(\chi_\alpha(t)\phi(x))$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\rho(t) \circ \sigma(h) \circ \rho(t)^{-1} = \rho(t) \circ \exp(\phi(x)) \circ \rho(t^{-1}) = \exp(\rho(t) \circ \phi(x) \circ \rho(t^{-1})).$$

To show that these two endomorphisms of M coincide, it is sufficient to show that they coincide for any generalized weight vector $m \in M_\lambda$. Then $\rho(t^{-1})(m) \in M_\lambda$, so $(\phi(x) \circ \rho(t^{-1}))(m) \in M_{\alpha+\lambda}$ by lemma 2.4.1. Letting $y = \log(t)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho(t) \circ \phi(x) \circ \rho(t^{-1}))(m) &= (\chi_{\alpha+\lambda}(t) \exp(\phi_n(y)) \circ \phi(x) \circ \exp(-\phi_n(y)) \chi_\lambda(t)^{-1})(m) \\ &= (\chi_\alpha(t)\phi(x))(m), \end{aligned}$$

where we use lemma 2.4.2 to conclude that $\exp(\phi_n(y))$ and $\phi(x)$ commute. Thus

$$(\rho(t) \circ \sigma(h) \circ \rho(t)^{-1})(m) = \exp(\chi_\alpha(t)\phi(x))(m) = \sigma(\text{tht}^{-1})(m),$$

which proves the desired equation.